



## Honorable Service & Stewardship: “Hooking and Crooking” Written Case Study

**Facilitator:** This two-part case study promotes discussion about Honorable Service and Stewardship. Reading each part of the Case Study twice often ensures that participants are prepared to discuss the issues. Additional questions for discussion follow the story.

**Facilitator’s Summary:** Do I show loyalty to the battalion and do what it takes to make mission goals and gain my commander's confidence? On the other hand, do I "blow the whistle" on battalion personalities and the schemes they were running to make mission goals?

### Notes:

#### Part 1: Situation

- What factors cause Soldiers to perform actions contrary to regulations? Why didn't the NCOs take proper action in this situation? Why didn't any of the Battalion's Soldiers speak up?
- Describe the type of climate/environment that existed in Battalion. Who is responsible for that climate?
- Describe how the situation will affect Trust relationships with the Soldiers/Leaders. With the Army.
- Is the Battalion CSM serving honorably? Why / why not?
- Were the other members of the Battalion *serv*ing honorably? Why / why not?
- What is the conflict in Army Values for the speaker? How should an apparent conflict of Army Values be resolved?

#### Part 2: Conclusion

- Part of Stewardship is regulating members and holding them accountable. What message does the result of this trial send to all those involved: to SSG Wuterich, to other Marines, to the Commanders, to Congress, to the American public?
- Describe how the speaker fulfilled his role as a Steward of the Army Profession. How did he resolve the conflict of Army Values?